		E-Served: Sep 1 2023 11:48AM	PDT Via Case Anywhere	
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GREGORY P. WONG (SBN: 204502) HEATHER K. COX (SBN: 278898) BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416 Email: <u>Heather@barklawfirm.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joel Zelaya and Demetrio Montes	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 09/01/2023 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By:A. He Deputy	
Electronically Received 08/25/2023 03:20 PM	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE		
	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	JOEL ZELAYA, an Individual; DEMETRIO MONTES, an Individual; Plaintiffs, vs. COMMERCIAL LUMBER & PALLET CO., INC., a California Corporation; RAYMOND GUTIERREZ, an Individual; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	CASE NO.: 22PSCV00340 Related Case: 22STCV03643 ÔUÞÙUŠØŒVOÖ Reassigned for all purposes to Hon. Stuart M. Rice, Dept. 1 REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT DATE: September 1, 2023 TIME: 10.30 a.m. DEPT: 1 (Spring Street)	
	 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 		Complaint filed: 04/06/2022 Trial Date: Not yet set	
			DER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION VAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	

1	Plaintiff Joel Zelaya, Plaintiff Demetrio Montes and Plaintiff Pedro Negrete (hereinafter		
2	"Plaintiffs") motion for an Order Preliminarily Approving a Class Action Settlement and setting a		
3	Final Approval Settlement Fairness Hearing was heard by the court on August 18, 2012 The Court		
4	has considered the Joint Stipulation of Settlement (hereinafter the "Stipulation") and all other		
5	papers filed in this action.		
6	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
7	1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the		
8	Stipulation.		
9	2. The Class Representatives and Defendants, through their counsel of record in the		
10	Litigation, have reached an agreement to settle all claims in the Litigation on behalf of the Class		
11	as a whole.		
12	3. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the following Class for settlement		
13	purposes only: Plaintiffs and all current and former hourly non-exempt employees of Defendants		
14	within California at any time during the period from January 17, 2018 through the date that a		
15	preliminary approval order is entered.		
16	4. Should for whatever reason the Stipulation and Judgment not become Final, the		
17	fact that the parties were willing to stipulate to certification of a class as part of the Stipulation		
18	shall have no bearing on or be admissible in connection with the issue of whether a class should		
19	be certified in a non-settlement context.		
20	5. The Court appoints and designates: (a) Plaintiff Joel Zelaya, Plaintiff Demetrio		
21	Montes and Plaintiff Pedro Negrete as the Class Representatives and (b) Gregory P. Wong and		
22	Heather K. Cox of the Barkhordarian Law Firm PLC, and Justin F. Marquez, Esq., Christina M.		
23	Le, Esq. and Zachary D. Greenberg, Esq of Wilshire Law Firm as Class Counsel for the Class.		
24	Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Class with respect to all acts or consents required		
25	by, or which may be given, pursuant to the Stipulation, and such other acts reasonably necessary		
26	to finalize the Stipulation and its terms. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through his		
27	or her own counsel at such Class Member's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter		
28	an appearance or appear on his or her own behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.		
	2 REVISED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION		
	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		

REVISED [PROPOSED]-ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

6. The Court hereby approves the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation. 7. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Stipulation and the Gross Settlement Sum in the amount of \$756,465.00, which is to be distributed as follows: Out of the Gross Settlement Sum: (a) although each Class Representative has requested \$10,000.00 for their services to the Class (\$30,000.00 total), the Court is inclined to award a maximum of \$7,500.00 for each Class Representative (\$22,500.00 total) at the time of final approval; (b) while Plaintiffs' counsel seek as award of a total of \$267,912.75 or up to 35% of the Maximum Settlement Amount to be paid to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, the Court has indicated an award of one third of the Gross Settlement Sum or \$252,155.00 will be awarded and reasonable costs shall be paid to Class Counsel as reimbursement of actual costs incurred, not to exceed \$40,000.00; (c) the Claims Administrator shall be paid for its fees and costs relating to the claims administration process which is expected to not exceed \$15,000.00; and (d) a Private Attorney General Action ("PAGA") payment in the amount of \$50,000.00, from which 75% will be paid to the Labor Workforce Development Agency (with the remaining 25% to "aggrieved employees"). The Court further

15 hereby preliminarily approves the formulas provided in the Stipulation regarding Individual
16 Settlement Amounts.

17 8. The Court finds that on a preliminary basis the Stipulation appears to be within the 18 range of reasonableness of a settlement, including the Class Representatives service awards, the 19 PAGA payment; Class Counsel fees and costs, the claims administration fees and the allocation of 20 individual settlement payments, that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It 21 appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable as 22 to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation 23 relating to liability and damages issues. It also appears that extensive and costly investigation, 24 research, and court proceedings have been conducted so that counsel for the Settling Parties are 25 able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement at this 26 time will avoid substantial additional costs by all Settling Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Litigation. It also appears that 27 28 settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive, arms-length

1 negotiations.

2 9. A hearing (the "Settlement Fairness Hearing") shall be held before this Court on Ø^à¦ĭæ¦^ÁGÉÆGEGI at FEKEACE in Department 1 of the above listed Superior Court of the State of 3 4 California, County of Los Angeles to determine all necessary matters concerning the Stipulation, 5 including whether the proposed settlement of the action on the terms and conditions provided for 6 in the Stipulation is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court and 7 whether a Judgment, as provided in the Stipulation, should be entered herein. At this same time, 8 a hearing on Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and 9 the Class Representative's service award shall also be held.

- 10 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Proposed Class
 Action Settlement to be sent to Class Members, which is attached as an exhibit to the Stipulation.
 12 The Court finds that distribution of the Class Notice to Class Members substantially in the manner
 13 and form set forth in the Stipulation and this Order meet the requirements of due process and shall
 14 constitute due and sufficient notice to all parties entitled thereto.
- 15 11. The Court appoints and designates CPT Group, Inc. as the Claims Administrator.
 16 The Court hereby directs the Claims Administrator to provide the approved Notice of Proposed
 17 Class Action Settlement to Class Members using the procedures set forth in the Stipulation.

18 12. Any Class Member may choose to opt out of and be excluded from the settlement 19 (except as to the PAGA payment) as provided in the Stipulation and Class Notice and by following 20 the instructions for requesting exclusion. Any person who timely and properly opts out of the 21 settlement will not be bound by the Stipulation except as to the PAGA settlement or have any right 22 to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Any Opt-Out request must be signed by each such Class 23 Member opting out and must otherwise comply with the requirements delineated in the Class 24 Notice. Class Members who have not requested exclusion by submitting a valid and timely Opt-25 Out request, by the Opt-Out Deadline, shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the 26 Stipulation, and Judgment.

27 13. Any Class Member may object to the Stipulation or express his or her views
28 regarding the Stipulation and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be

1 proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class 2 Notice. No Class Member, however, shall be heard or entitled to object, and no papers or briefs 3 submitted by any such person shall be received or considered by the Court, unless on or before the 4 Objection Deadline the Class Member or Person or his or her counsel properly filed their 5 objections with the Court and served their objections on Class Counsel and Defense Counsel as 6 provided in the Stipulation and Class Notice. Any Class Member who does not make his or her 7 objection in the manner provided for in the Stipulation and Class Notice, including by the 8 Objection Deadline, shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed 9 from making any objection to the Stipulation.

10

14. The Motion for Final Approval shall be filed by the Class Representatives no later 11 than sixteen (16) court days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing.

12 15. In the event that the Effective Date occurs, all Settlement Class Members and Class 13 Representative will be deemed to have forever released and discharged the Released Claims 14 applicable to them.

15 16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Settlement 16 Fairness Hearing and all dates provided for in the Stipulation without further notice to the Class 17 and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the 18 Stipulation.

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

22 DATED: Ù^] ₡\{ à^\/ÁFÉG€GH

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Stuart M. Rice / Judge

The Honorable Stuart M. Rice Judge of the Superior Court